PATENT COOPERATION ZEATY

PCT Rec'd PCT/PTO 14 DEC 2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (DOT Article 36 and Rule 70) REC'D 21 JAN 2004

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

WIPO PCT

| Applic | ant's | or age | nt's file reference | FOR FURTHER AC | TION | | n of Transmittal of Internation | | | |
|--|-----------|------------------|---|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| H 3117 PCT | | | | Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) | | | | | | |
| International application No. | | | | International filing date (day/month/year) | | Priority date (day/month/yea | ar) | | | |
| PCT/US 03/18525 | | | | 12.06.2003 | | | 28.06.2002 | | | |
| | | | nt Classification (IPC) or bo | oth national classification a | nd IPC | : | 197 - 198 - | | | |
| G02 | G02B5/20 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY et al. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | This | intern | ational preliminary exam and is transmitted to the | nination report has been | n prepar Article 3 | ed by this Inte | rnational Preliminary Exar | nining · | | |
| | Auui | Office 6 | ing is transmitted to the | applicant according to a | 111010 0 | . | , | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | This | REPO | ORT consists of a total o | of 4 sheets, including th | is cover | sheet. | · .· | • | | |
| | | This | report is also accompar | nied by ANNEXES, i.e. s | sheets o | f the description | on, claims and/or drawings | which have | | |
| | _ | beer | amended and are the l Rule 70.16 and Section | pasis for this report and | or sheet | s containing re | ectifications made before t | his Authority | | |
| | | • | | | ve msuc | ictions ander t | | | | |
| | Thes | se ann | exes consist of a total c | of sheets. | | | | • | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | This | repor | t contains indications re | lating to the following ite | ems: | | | | | |
| | ı | ⊠ | | | | | | | | |
| | ! } | | Basis of the opinion Priority | | | | • | | | |
| | | | • | opinion with regard to no | oveltv. ir | ventive step a | and industrial applicability | 10 | | |
| | IV | | Lack of unity of inventi | • | | • | , | | | |
| | ٧ | \boxtimes | Reasoned statement u | ınder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi | th regard | d to novelty, in | ventive step or industrial a | pplicability; | | |
| : | | _ | · | ons supporting such sta | tement | | | • | | |
| | VI | | Certain documents cité | | | | | | | |
| | VIII | | | nternational application in the international appli | | | | | | |
| | VIII | | Certain observations o | in the international appli | cation | | · | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date | of sub | missio | n of the demand | | Date of | completion of th | ils report | | | |
| Date of Submission of the demand | | | | | | | | | | |
| 08.12.2003 | | | | | 20.01.2004 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Name prelin | and inarv | mailing exami | address of the internation ning authority: | al | Authoria | zed Officer | | SEO LEGICAL MUDICILA | | |
| European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Lindner, T | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 | | | | 56 epmu d | | | | (Signature of the state of the | | |
| | | ⊢a> | C +49 89 2399 - 4465 | | i elepho | one No. +49 89 2 | 2399-8976 | DUIN-EN | | |

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8976

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/18525

| I. | Basis | of the | report |
|----|-------|--------|--------|
|----|-------|--------|--------|

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

| | Doc | cription, Pages | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | as originally filed | | | |
| | 1-21 | | as originally med | | | |
| | Clai | ms, Numbers | | | | |
| | 1-5 | | as originally filed | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Drav | wings, Sheets | | | | |
| | 1/1 | | as originally filed | | | |
| 2. | With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | | | | |
| | The | These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language:, which is: | | | | |
| | | the language of a tra | inslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). | | | |
| | | | ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). | | | |
| | | the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3 | Inslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3). | | | |
| 3. | With inte | n regard to any nucle rnational preliminary e | otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: | | | |
| | | contained in the inter | rnational application in written form. | | | |
| | | filed together with the | e international application in computer readable form. | | | |
| | | furnished subsequer | ntly to this Authority in written form. | | | |
| | | | ntly to this Authority in computer readable form. | | | |
| | | in the international a | he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished. | | | |
| | | The statement that the listing has been furnitude. | he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished. | | | |
| 4. | The | amendments have re | esulted in the cancellation of: | | | |
| | | the description, | pages: | | | |
| | | the claims, | Nos.: | | | |
| | | the drawings, | sheets: | | | |
| | | | | | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US 03/18525

5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims
1-5
No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims
1-5
No: Claims
1-5
No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/US03/18525

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

- The international application concerns a louver film, also called privacy film or anti-1. peeping film which is a surface coating on a screen which displays personal data and prohibits persons standing aside from inspecting these data. The function and the composition of such a louver film represents state of the art and is documented in the specification.
- There are related documents, not pre-published, of the Applicant which comprise 2. all the features of the present set of claims - peelability of a louver film from an optical arrangement, peeling force - but without the particular feature of an olefin polymer based adhesive. This type of adhesive is advantageous in that it does not lead to contamination

with silicon compounds which emanate from silicone compounds used in release layers for cured adhesives of the acrylate type.

The term "olefin polymer" is regarded to not include the products of photopolymerization of monomers with functional, ie. activating groups.

In the field of pressure sensitive adhesives, it has apparently been known that silicone might cause problems in computer equipment - see EP-A-0 808 885 of the international search report, page 5, lines 32 to 44, wherein this drawback stemming from silicone included in the release liner is discussed.

Realizing that not only contamination of a pressure sensitive adhesive by silicone, but also the use of silicone compounds in the adhesive layer as such should be avoided involves an inventive step, although the solution is apparent once the problem has been fixed.

In the context of films functioning as optical filters, in particular louver films, mention of this problem could not be traced.

Embodiments which comprise the improved peelable adhesive layer such as optical filters, display units or touch panels share inventiveness of the inventive concept.